

ASSIGNMENT 4

- 1. The dataset "assignment4.sav" includes scores of student academic portfolios provided by 4 different raters. Take the data and conduct a G-study using a single facet (rater) design. Report the proportion of variance in the scores are due to each of the model terms.**

ANSWER:

```
Summary of G-Study
```

	Systems	Queries	Interaction
Variance	1.3934	0.010793	0.69453
Variance(%)	66.393	0.51424	33.093

Mean Sq.	6.2682	1.7414	0.69453
Sample size	97	4	388

1. Raters (Systems) accounted for 66.393% of item response variance
2. Items (Queries) accounted for 0.51424%
3. Error (Interaction) accounted for 33.093%

- 2. What interpretations can you make regarding the measurement process, given the percentages associated with each of the terms in the model? .**

The majority of item variance is associated with raters (Systems) (66.393%) with the second highest proportion associated with error (the interaction) (33.093%). Very little variance was

associated with the items themselves (0.51424%), indicating that the item response patterns among the raters are very consistent.

3. Using the results from Q1, conduct a D-study using between 1 and 10 raters. Report your results for both norm and criterion reference-based assessment. Do they differ? .

```
> dstudy(assignment4.g, queries = c(10))
```

Summary of D-Study

Call:
 queries = 10
 stability = 0.95
 alpha = 0.025

Stability:

Queries	Erho2			Phi		
	Expected	Lower	Upper	Expected	Lower	Upper
10	0.95252	0.93321	0.96695	0.95182	0.9212	0.96563

Required number of queries:

Stability	Erho2			Phi		
	Expected	Lower	Upper	Expected	Lower	Upper
0.95	10	7	14	10	7	17

ANSWER:

G coefficient = 0.95252

Phi coefficient = 0.95182

The two results look [like the same](#)

4. Given the results that you obtained in answering Q1 and Q2, what would be the number of raters needed to have the coefficient in exceed of .80

```
> dstudy(assignment4.g, queries = c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13))
```

Summary of D-Study

Call:

```
queries = 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
stability = 0.95
alpha = 0.025
```

Stability:

Queries	Erho2			Phi		
	Expected	Lower	Upper	Expected	Lower	Upper
1	0.66736	0.58286	0.74527	0.66393	0.53895	0.7375
2	0.8005	0.73647	0.85404	0.79803	0.70041	0.84892
3	0.85753	0.80739	0.89772	0.85563	0.77812	0.89394
4	0.8892	0.84824	0.92128	0.88767	0.82382	0.91829
5	0.90935	0.87479	0.93601	0.90807	0.8539	0.93354
6	0.9233	0.89343	0.9461	0.9222	0.87522	0.944
7	0.93353	0.90724	0.95344	0.93256	0.8911	0.95161
8	0.94135	0.91789	0.95903	0.94049	0.9034	0.9574
9	0.94752	0.92634	0.96341	0.94675	0.9132	0.96196
10	0.95252	0.93321	0.96695	0.95182	0.9212	0.96563
11	0.95665	0.93891	0.96986	0.95601	0.92784	0.96866
12	0.96012	0.94372	0.97231	0.95953	0.93346	0.97119
13	0.96307	0.94782	0.97438	0.96252	0.93826	0.97335

Required number of queries:

Stability	Erho2			Phi		
	Expected	Lower	Upper	Expected	Lower	Upper
0.95	10	7	14	10	7	17

- 1) if you were using the scores to rank examinees
=> **We need 2 raters (G coefficient = 0.8005) in exceed of .80**
- 2) if you were using scores to determine a passing grade, respectively? => **We need 3 raters (Phi coefficient = 0.85563) in exceed of .80**